

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

00/24-499	Address: COM Was	MISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS hington, D.C. 20231	- - A
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	L
71.48 ₀ 3 3	, , - ½.	P1D1C1-US	
		EXAMINER]
. Park today day	Parado se de la la	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	1
t San Dillen inn. 1 Teanna in in i		7-7	1
to the expedition of the		2841	
•		DATE MAILED: 03/28/01	1
This is a communication from the examiner in a COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE			
	Responsive to communication filed on		
A shortened statutory period for response to the Failure to respond within the period for response	is action is set to expire month(see will cause the application to become aband	s), days from the date of this letter. doned. 35 U.S.C. 133	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		14
1. Notice of References Cited by Exam 2. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PT 5. Information on How to Effect Drawle	0-1449. \$25/6 Pages 4. N	otice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION			
1.0 Claims 13_13	1	are pending in the application	m.
Of the above, claims		are withdrawn from consideration	L
2. Claims		have been cancelled.	
3. Claims 115/1	16,123-131	are allowed.	
4. Claims 113, 114, 14		1	
•			
		are subject to restriction or election requirement.	**
_	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which a		
		re acceptable for examination purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in response			1
	ave been received on	Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings tent Drawing Review, PTO-948).	H
10. The proposed additional or substitute examiner; disapproved by the examiner		has (have) been approved by the	
11 The proposed drawing correction, filed	has been □app	roved; Calsapproved (see explanation).	
	n for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certifi al no; filed on	ed copy has been received not been received	H
	n condition for allowance except for formal ma parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	atters, prosecution as to the marks is closed in	
14. Other		Sec.	-

2

Serial Number: 09/245499

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to by the examiner for improper cross hatching. All the parts shown in section should be cross hatched according to MPEP 608.02, page 600-81.

The drawings are also objected to because those figures showing that which is old are **not** labeled with a prior art legend. Such a label is required. See, for example, the brief description of figure 1a.

Also, the embodiment of the invention claimed is not shown in the drawings and explained in the detailed description of the invention. An illustration and explanation are required. Please note that all of the features of the elected invention should be shown in a single embodiment. In response to applicant's traversal that figure 8 discloses all of the features, examiner points out that paper #9 elects the species of figures 18, 19 and 21 with the contact of figure 15. One of these figures must contain all of the claimed features or the election changed.

The drawing corrections received 1/17/01 are not approved (therefore, none of the changes are entered). The pattern of cross hatching for the insulating parts (for example substrate 10) is incorrect. All of the insulating parts have been cross hatched as metal. When submitting drawing corrections, please include all of the corrections in a single set of marked-up drawings.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of

3

Serial Number: 09/245499

Art Unit: 2841

which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Treatment of Claims Based on Prior Art

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 113-114, 117-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walraven et al. (US 3188535, hereafter Walraven).

Walraven discloses a terminal (4) of a semiconductor (column 1, lines 11-13) attached directly to a resilient interconnection element having an elongated section, a tip and a the claimed shape. The interconnection element comprises precursor (6) and overcoat (7). The tip is releasable because it is capable of being disconnected.

Moroney discloses springable contact (16) in direct contact with semiconductor device (11).

Walraven does not disclose a plurality of contacts or that the semiconductor has a plurality of microelectronic components. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include a plurality of microelectronic components to have the added functionality and to use a plurality of contacts to make connections to those microelectronic components, because integrating multiple components into a semiconductor device is well known in the art. Examiner takes official notice of the commonness of integrating multiple components into a semiconductor device.

Serial Number: 09/245499

Art Unit: 2841

5. Claim 113, 117-122 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moroney (US 3189799).

Moroney discloses a plurality of springable interconnection elements (16), having a releasable tip, an elongated portion and the claimed shape, in direct contact with semiconductor device (11).

Moroney does not disclose that the semiconductor has a plurality of microelectronic components. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include a plurality of microelectronic components to have the added functionality, because integrating multiple components into a semiconductor device is well known in the art. Examiner takes official notice of the commonness of integrating multiple components into a semiconductor device.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 115-116 and 123-131 are allowed.

The prior art does not disclose the contacts with the claimed shape and claimed layer structure (for example, the overcoat providing the resiliency) being formed directly on a semiconductor die.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been carefully reviewed, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Nevertheless, examiner notes the following in response to applicant's arguments.

Ashby and goldman disclose gold contacts and do not disclose that the contacts are resilient.

Nevertheless, Walraven disclose resilient contacts. If the contacts are resilient, then they are springable by definition. Even though, the circuit element disclosed by Walraven is a diode and maybe larger than current integrated circuits, miniaturization of contacts is well known and routinely

5

Serial Number: 09/245499

Art Unit: 2841

practiced. Therefore, examiner finds without support the allegation that Walraven "is irrelevant to the instant invention," page 12 of the response of 1/17/01.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Closing

9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kamand Cuneo at (703) 308-1233. Examiner Cuneo's supervisor is Mr. Jeffrey Gaffin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3301.

Madf

Cuneo

Patent Examiner, Group 2841

March 23, 2001